

1 Karma M. Julianelli (SBN 184175)  
karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com  
**BARTLIT BECK LLP**  
2 1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
3 Telephone: (303) 592-3100  
4

5 Hae Sung Nam (*pro hac vice*)  
hnam@kaplanfox.com  
**KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**  
6 850 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
7 Tel.: (212) 687-1980  
8

9 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
*Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

10 Steve W. Berman (*pro hac vice*)  
steve@hbsslaw.com  
**HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**  
11 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000  
Seattle, WA 98101  
12 Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
13

14 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
*Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and*  
*Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.*  
15

16 Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174)  
bsweeney@hausfeld.com  
**HAUSFELD LLP**  
17 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
18 Telephone: (415) 633-1908  
19

20 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
*Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and*  
*Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.*  
21

22 [Additional counsel appear on signature page]

23 Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)  
paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com  
**FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH**  
24 **LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
25 Telephone: (415) 591-7500  
26

27 Christine A. Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
cvarney@cravath.com  
**CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP**  
28 825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
29

30 *Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic*  
*Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*  
31

32 Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576)  
brian.rocca@morganlewis.com  
**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**  
33 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1596  
34 Telephone: (415) 442-1000  
35

36 *Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.*  
37

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

---

## **IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

---

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

*Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

*In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

*In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

## **JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor (via Zoom)  
Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 Pursuant to this Court’s Order dated February 18, 2021, the parties in the above-captioned  
 2 MDL action (the “Parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel, submit this Joint Statement.

3 **I. Status of Consolidated Consumer Complaint**

4 The Court directed the Parties to advise “on whether any of the consolidated complaints  
 5 will be amended now that additional cases have been transferred to this district.” ECF No. 5.  
 6 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Consumer Plaintiffs communicated with counsel for the six new  
 7 consumer class actions transferred to this Court and confirms that these six new consumer class  
 8 actions should be deemed consolidated with and into *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
 9 *Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD, and that, at this time, Consumer Plaintiffs will not file an  
 10 amended complaint or add named Plaintiffs to the operative consolidated complaint. As such, the  
 11 pending Consolidated Consumer Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 132, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-  
 12 JD) will be the operative consumer complaint for purposes of Defendants’ pleadings challenge and  
 13 for further consolidated proceedings in *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*, Case No.  
 14 3:20-cv-05761-JD, with respect to all Consumer Plaintiffs, including those named in the  
 15 Consolidated Consumer Class Action Complaint and those named in the six newly centralized  
 16 consumer class actions.

17 As reflected in the proposal below (*see* Section II), the Parties agree that Defendants’  
 18 pending Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss as to Epic Games, Inc. (ECF No. 91, Case No. 3:20-cv-  
 19 05671-JD) and as to Developer Plaintiffs (ECF No. 71, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD) (“the motion  
 20 to dismiss”), shall be deemed Defendants’ timely response to the Consolidated Consumer Class  
 21 Action Complaint (including each of the newly centralized consumer class actions). Accordingly,  
 22 as set forth below, the arguments in support of, and in opposition to, the motion to dismiss shall  
 23 be deemed to apply to the Consolidated Consumer Class Action Complaint.

24 **II. Proposal for Motion Practice**

25 With respect to the Court’s instruction that the Parties should “streamline motion  
 26 proceedings through the use of consolidated briefs to the fullest extent possible” in connection  
 27 with Defendants’ pending Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, and “meet and confer on a proposal to

1 achieve those efficiencies,” the Parties make the following joint proposal, subject to the Court’s  
2 approval:

3       First, all Consumer Plaintiffs shall join in and be subject to the joint omnibus briefing  
4 already on file with the Court, specifically:

5           • The following motion will be deemed to apply to, and seek dismissal of, the claims  
6 alleged in the Consolidated Consumer Class Action Complaint: Defendants’ Notice Of Motion  
7 And Motion To Dismiss Epic Games, Inc.’s Complaint And Developers’ First Consolidated Class  
8 Action Complaint; Supporting Memorandum Of Points And Authorities On Common Issues (ECF  
9 No. 91, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD; ECF No. 71, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD).

10          • All Consumer Plaintiffs will be deemed to have joined the following: Joint  
11 Opposition By Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. And Developer Class Plaintiffs To Defendants’ Motion  
12 To Dismiss (ECF No. 111, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD; ECF No. 80, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-  
13 JD).

14          • The following reply will be deemed to respond to the above-referenced opposition  
15 (which, under this proposal, said opposition will be deemed joined by Consumer Plaintiffs):  
16 Defendants’ Reply To Opposition To Defendants’ Motion To Dismiss Epic Games, Inc.’s  
17 Complaint And Developers’ First Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 117, Case No.  
18 3:20-cv-05671-JD; ECF No. 95, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD).

19          • The Parties will file each of the above briefs on the docket in *In re Google Play*  
20 *Consumer Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD.

21       Second, Defendants’ separate, five-page Motion to Dismiss Developer Plaintiffs’ Claim  
22 for Damages will be deemed fully briefed (ECF No. 71-1, ECF No. 81, ECF No. 95-1, Case No.  
23 3:20-cv-05792-JD).

24       Third, the Parties will not submit any further or supplemental “short briefs on fact issues.”  
25 Thus, no further briefing will be submitted by the parties in connection with the motion to dismiss.

1       Subject to the Court's availability, the Parties propose either of the following hearing dates  
2 on the Court's regular Civil Law & Motion calendar: April 8, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. or April 15, 2021  
3 at 10:00 a.m.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: February 26, 2021

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

2 Christine Varney (*pro hac vice*)

3 Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)

4 Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)

Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)

Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)

M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

5 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

6 Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)

7 Respectfully submitted,

8 By: /s/ Yonatan Even  
9 Yonatan Even

10 *Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

11 Dated: February 26, 2021

BARTLIT BECK LLP

12 Karma M. Julianelli

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

13 Hae Sung Nam

16 Respectfully submitted,

17 By: /s/ Karma M. Julianelli  
Karma M. Julianelli

18 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in*  
19 *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
20 *Litigation*

1 Dated: February 26, 2021

PRITZKER LEVINE LLP  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker  
4 Elizabeth C. Pritzker

5 *Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in*  
6 *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
7 *Litigation*

8 Dated: February 26, 2021

9 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
10 Steve W. Berman  
Robert F. Lopez  
Benjamin J. Siegel

11 SPERLING & SLATER PC  
12 Joseph M. Vanek  
Eamon P. Kelly  
13 Alberto Rodriguez

14 Respectfully submitted,

15 By: /s/ Steve W. Berman  
16 Steve W. Berman

17 *Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the*  
18 *Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
19 *Pure Sweat Basketball*

1 Dated: February 26, 2021

HAUSFELD LLP  
Bonny E. Sweeney  
Melinda R. Coolidge  
Katie R. Beran  
Scott A. Martin  
Irving Scher

5 Respectfully submitted,

6 By: /s/ Bonny E. Sweeney  
7 Bonny E. Sweeney

8 *Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the*  
9 *Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Peekya App Services, Inc.*

10 Dated: February 26, 2021

11 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
12 Brian C. Rocca  
13 Sujal J. Shah  
14 Minna L. Naranjo  
Rishi P. Satia  
Michelle Park Chiu

15 Respectfully submitted,

16 By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca  
17 Brian C. Rocca

18 *Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.*

1                   **E-FILING ATTESTATION**

2                   I, Brian C. Rocca, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file  
3 this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the  
4 signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

5                   \_\_\_\_\_  
6                   /s/ *Brian C. Rocca*  
7                   \_\_\_\_\_  
8                   Brian C. Rocca  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28